UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,862	09/19/2006	Guenter Hoenig	10191/4488	2174
²⁶⁶⁴⁶ KENYON & K	7590 04/02/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADY		MILLER, CARL STUART		
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/566,862	HOENIG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Carl S. Miller	3747			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	-· action is non-final.				
<i>,</i> —	, -				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
		0 0.0.2.0.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 12-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 22 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 16,19 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)					

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith ('799)

In particular, Smith teaches a pole-like element extending from fuel rail (2) that can be considered a lance. Also, the injector is fed by two parallel fuel rails ((1) and (2)).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stutzenberger in view of Smith and Kondo.

Stutzenberger teaches an injection system including two fuel rails feeding a single injector. Even though the rails are only schematically shown the drawing shows the rails adjacent to on e another which would suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art that the two rails be connected in order to save space in the system,

Kondo teaches the use of soldering to connect elements in a common rail fuel system and Smith applies as noted above.

It would have been obvious to modify Stutzenberger by orienting the rails in parallel as taught by Smith (another dual-fuel injector), attaching the rails as suggested

by the drawing of Stutzenberger and using soldering as the attachment means since Kondo taught that this was a suitable method in the same environment.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith in view of Kondo.

Smith applies as noted above and Kondo further applies in that it uses soldering to attach a lance (pipe connection from the rail) to a fuel rail thereby making this an obvious way to attach the connection pipe of Smith.

Claims 17, 18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith in view of Sekine.

Smith applies as noted above and further includes an intake for the first fuel distributor. Sekine teaches a lance member (10) that extends into the nipple of a fuel injector. The examiner has reviewed the specification and can find no criticality regarding the diameter of the lance, thus making applicant's 4mm limitation a matter of normal engineering practice.

It would have been obvious to modify Smith by attaching the second rail to the injector with a lance that fits inside the nipple of the injector as taught by Sekine because the latter method had been used in similar injectors and thus the element was simply being used in its established function to produce predictable results.

Claims 16, 19 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 22 is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,862 Page 4

Art Unit: 3747

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl S. Miller whose telephone number is 571-272-4849. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWTHF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Cronin, can be reached on 571-272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Carl S. Miller/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3747